

# Appendix C

## Errata (November, 2023)

With regret, I have to mention the following errors:

- p. 54: “...an exponential random variable with rate  $\sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k$ ” should be “...with rate  $\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k$ ”.
- p. 60: “...the Internet has an exponent around  $\alpha = 2.4$ ” should be “...the Internet has an exponent around  $\alpha = 1.4$ ”.
- p. 140: “why  $\lambda$  is called the rate ... or the number of events per time unit” should be “why  $\lambda$  is called the rate ... or the average number of events per time unit”.
- p. 143: the second “equality” should be an “inequality”, thus

$$\sum_{j=2}^n P_{n-j}(t) \Pr[N(h) = j] \leq \sum_{j=2}^n \Pr[N(h) = j] \leq \Pr[N(h) > 1] = o(h)$$

- p. 155: (vi) (c): “If there was one VoiP in the meantime” should be “If there was one VoiP packet in the meantime”.
- p. 190: below (9.27): “the rectangular matrix  $R$  describes the transitions from the closed states to the transient states, while there are no transitions from the transient to the closed states” should be “the rectangular matrix  $R$  describes the transitions from the transient states to the closed states, while there are no transitions from the closed to the transient states”.
- p. 201: exercise (ii) implicitly assumed an infinite  $N$ . For a finite  $N$ , it must hold that  $P_{N,N} = 1 - \frac{1}{N}$  in order to obey the fundamental property  $Pu = u$ . In that case, the solution on p. 605 must contain a self-loop for state  $N$  with transition probability  $1 - \frac{1}{N}$ . In addition, the steady state of node  $N$  then equals  $\pi_N = N \frac{N-2}{N-1} \pi_{N-1}$  that only tends to 1 if  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . In that limit, there are two absorbing states, one at zero and one at  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .
- p. 202: exercise (ix): “... started in state  $j$ ” should be “... started in state  $i$ ”.
- p. 209 : formula (10.19) should be  $P(t) = u\pi + \sum_{k=2}^N e^{-|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k|t + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k t} x_k y_k^T$ .
- p. 216: last equation in display “ $q_{ii} = 1 - \beta T_{ii}(\beta)$ ” should be “ $q_{ii} = \beta - \beta T_{ii}(\beta)$ ”.
- p. 217: second last equation in display “ $t_k(\beta)q_k = \sum_{k=1; k \neq j}^N t_k(\beta)q_{kj}$ ” should

be “ $t_j(\beta)q_j = \sum_{k=1; k \neq j}^N t_k(\beta)q_{kj}$ ” and the line below “ $t_k(\beta) = \pi_k$ ” is better replaced by “ $t_j(\beta) = \pi_j$ ”.

- p. 225, line 6: “ $p_2$ , or a link failure ...” should be “ $p_1$ , or a link failure ...”.
- p. 354, xiii): In the figure,  $p$  and  $q$  need to be reversed:  $p = 1/2$  and  $q = 1/3$ .
- p. 370: line 11: “Nodes with low closeness have short hopcounts ...” should be “Nodes with high closeness have ...”.
- p. 372: the definition of  $\tilde{C}_G$  should be: six times the number  $\blacktriangle_G$  of triangles divided by the number of connected triples,

$$\tilde{C}_G = \frac{6\blacktriangle_G}{N_2 - W_2} = \frac{W_3}{d^T d - 2L} = \frac{\text{trace}(A^3)}{\sum_{j=1}^N d_j(d_j - 1)}$$

where  $N_k = u^T A^k u$  is the total number of walks with length  $k$  and  $W_k = \text{trace}(A^k)$  is the number of closed walks with length  $k$ . Moreover,  $W_3 = 6\blacktriangle_G$  and the number of connected triples equals the total number  $N_2 = d^T d$  of walks of length 2 minus the number  $W_2 = \text{trace}(A^2) = 2L$  of walks of length 2 between two nodes. The factor of 6 accounts for the fact that each triangle contributes to three connected triples of nodes, but six closed walks (three clockwise and three counterclockwise). For the complete graph  $K_N$  with  $\text{trace}(A^3) = (N-2)(N-1)N$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^N d_j(d_j - 1) = N(N-1)(N-2)$ , we find, indeed, that the clustering coefficient  $\tilde{C}_G = 1$ .

- p. 417: line 3 from bottom: “Gummel” should be “Gumbel”.
- p. 440 (xi): there is a misprint in  $E[h]$ : it should be  $E[h] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ .
- p. 449: equation (17.7) should be (in particular, third line sum)

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } \begin{cases} j = i - 2^{m-1}; m = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ \text{and } x_m(i) = 1 \end{cases} \\ \varepsilon + \beta \sum_{k=1}^N a_{mk} x_k(i) & \text{if } \begin{cases} j = i + 2^{m-1}; m = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ \text{and } x_m(i) = 0 \end{cases} \\ -\sum_{k=0; k \neq j}^{2^N - 1} q_{jk} & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- p. 451: line -8: “(a) if the node  $i$  is infected ( $X_i$ ), then  $\frac{dE[X_i]}{dt}$  decreases ...” should be “then  $E[X_i(t)]$  decreases over time  $t$  with rate equal to the curing rate  $\delta$ ”.
- p. 457: The integral of after eq. (17.23) should have the opposite sign. Hence, (17.24) should be

$$W(t) \leq e^{(\tau A - (1 + \varepsilon^*)I)t^*} W(0) - \varepsilon^* \frac{I - e^{(\tau A - (1 + \varepsilon^*)I)t^*}}{\tau A - (1 + \varepsilon^*)I} u$$

and on p. 458, the tendency towards “ $\varepsilon^* \left\{ (\tau A - (1 + \varepsilon^*)I)^{-1} u \right\}_i, \dots$ ” should be “ $\varepsilon^* \left\{ -(\tau A - (1 + \varepsilon^*)I)^{-1} u \right\}_i$ , which is positive for  $\varepsilon^* > 0$ ”.

- p. 458: “decreases exponentially fast” should be “decreases exponentially fast for sufficiently large time”. This is a rather important observation, because in the star graph the prevalence can initially still increase with time, even if the effective infection rate  $\tau$  is below the epidemic threshold (see Van Mieghem, P., 2016, “Approximate formula and bounds for the time-varying SIS prevalence in networks”, Physical Review E, Vol. 93, No. 5, p. 052312.)
- p. 458: Theorem 17.3.2 is wrong. The reason is that in the proof the argument “In any graph  $G$ , the conditional probability

$$\varepsilon_G = \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \max_{(k,l) \in \mathcal{L}} \Pr[X_k = 1 | X_l = 1]$$

can be upper bounded by  $\varepsilon_G \leq \varepsilon_{K_N}$ , because the infection probability  $\varepsilon_G$  on a link  $(k, l)$  in the graph  $G$  is largest in the complete graph.” is not correct. For more information, I refer to my article “Approximate formula and bounds for the time-varying SIS prevalence in networks”, Physical Review E, Vol. 93, No. 5, p. 052312, 2016.

- p. 463 (bottom): the index  $j$  should be  $i$ : the last equation is written for node  $i$  (and for node  $j$ ).
- p. 465: in the proof:  $\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} h_i (k-1)$  should be replaced by  $\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} h_j (k-1)$  and, in the final line of the proof, “partial fraction” must be replaced by “continued fraction”.
- p. 594: B.5 (i): the first formula in display,  $\Pr[D_{\max} \leq x] = \left(\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right)^{-\alpha}\right)^N$ , should be  $\Pr[D_{\max} \leq x] = \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right)^{-\alpha}\right)^N$ .
- p. 621, solution of problem (iv): “Solving this equation . . . yields  $\rho = \frac{P_B + \sqrt{2P_B - P_B^2}}{1 - P_B}$ ,” should be “Solving this equation . . . yields  $r = \frac{P_B + \sqrt{2P_B - P_B^2}}{1 - P_B}$ ,”
- p. 623: In Fig. B.9, the first three states 1,2,3 should be 0,1,2. The last state  $m$  is correct.
- p. 626, solution of problem xvi (a). Arrival rate  $\lambda = \frac{90 \times 7}{60 \times 8} = 1.3125$  calls/minute, or, change the number of employees in the company from 90 to 120.
- p. 627, solution of problem xvi (c). The value of  $5!$  should be 120, not 150.
- p. 656 in (xi): The size of the URT is  $m + 1$ , the root  $A$  and the  $m$  nearest neighbors, that are different from the root  $A$ . The correct average hopcount (from (16.17)) should be

$$E[h] = E[H_{N=m+1}] = \frac{m+1}{m} \sum_{l=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{l}$$

**Acknowledgements**

For pointing me to errors, I am very grateful<sup>1</sup> to Massimo Achterberg, Scott Dahlgren, Qiang Liu, Jil Meier, Bastian Prasse and to students at Delft University of Technology that followed my course on Performance Analysis.

---

<sup>1</sup> The persons are alphabetically ordered according to family name.