Network Reconstruction for the prediction of spreading processes





Diego Garlaschelli

Lorentz Institute for Theoretical Physics University of Leiden garlaschelli@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl



The challenge: reconstructing (interbank) networks from partial information



Systemic risk

risk of collapse of <u>entire</u> (financial) system crucially depends on

network topology

which is however unknown

Public information:

each bank's total exposure towards the **aggregate** of all other banks

Hidden information:

each bank's individual exposure towards each single bank



 G^*

 $\vec{C}(G^*)$



OUR GOAL: Can we (statistically) reconstruct the original network in such a way that...

Privacy is protected
 Higher-order effects are correctly predicted

Traditional deterministic (dense) solution

- $W_{i \rightarrow j}$: true (unknown) link weights of G^*
- $\widetilde{W}_{i \to j} : \text{reconstructed from margins } \overrightarrow{C}(G^*) = \begin{bmatrix} s_i^m = \sum_{j \in V} w_{j \to i} \\ s_i^{out} = \sum_{j \in V} w_{i \to j} \end{bmatrix}$



Traditional deterministic (dense) solution

- $W_{i \rightarrow j}$: true (unknown) link weights of G^*
- $\widetilde{W}_{i \to j}$: reconstructed from margins $\vec{C}(G^*) = -\begin{cases} s_i^m = \sum_{j \in V} w_{j \to i} \\ s_i^{out} = \sum_{j \in V} w_{i \to j} \end{cases}$

Traditional approach

(from "strengths" only)



With respect to real networks, links are too many and thus too weak:





Italian interbank network

Austrian interbank network

⇒ Underestimation of systemic risk !

Probabilistic method: Maximum-entropy principle

Partial information

 \checkmark



Impose available info as constraint $ec{C}(G^*)$

Maximize Shannon's **entropy**

$$S = -\sum_{G} P(G) \log P(G)$$

Find **unbiased** probability P(G)

Constrained ensemble of networks



Microcanonical vs Canonical ensembles



Possible choices of **local** constraints



Possible choices of **local** constraints



Binary configuration model (BCM): fixed degrees

$$\vec{C} = \left\{k_i\right\} = \left\{\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}\right\}$$

$$H(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i k_i = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)$$

$$a_{ij}=0,1$$

$$P(G) = e^{-H(G)}/Z$$

$$= \prod_{i < j} \frac{e^{-(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)a_{ij}}}{1 + e^{-(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}}$$

$$= \prod_{i < j} p_{ij}^{a_{ij}} (1 - p_{ij})^{1 - a_{ij}}$$
where
$$p_{ij} = \frac{e^{-(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}}{1 + e^{-(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}} = \frac{x_i x_j}{1 + x_i x_j}$$
FERMI-DIRAC !

[Park & Newman, Phys. Rev. E 70, 066117 (2004)]

Binary configuration model (BCM): fixed degrees



Equiprobable configurations:



(must hold for <u>all</u> vertices simultaneously)

Using the BCM for network reconstruction: Maximum-Likelihood Principle

Given the real network \mathbf{G}^* find θ^* that maximizes $\mathcal{L}(\vec{\theta}) \equiv \ln P(\mathbf{G}^* | \vec{\theta})$



For the Binary Configuration Model

Solution:

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_i^* x_j^*}{1 + x_i^* x_j^*} = k_i(\mathbf{A}^*)$$

Squartini & Garlaschelli, NJP **13**, 083001 (2011) codes available:

Squartini, Mastrandrea, Garlaschelli, NJP 17, 023052 (2015)

Using the BCM for network reconstruction

Result: good prediction of higher-order properties (from degrees only) in binary graphs

Network

- Office social network [27]
- Research group social network[27]
- Fraternity social network [27]
- Maspalomas Lagoon food web [28]
- Chesapeake Bay food web [28]
- Crystal River (control) food web [28]
- Crystal River food web [28]
- Michigan Lake food web [28]
- Mondego Estuary food web [28]
- Everglades Marshes food web [28]
- Italian interbank network (1999) [26]
- World Trade Web (2000) [20]



New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 043022











$$\vec{C} = \{s_i\} = \{\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij}\}$$

$$H(G) = \sum_i \beta_i s_i = \sum_{i < j} (\beta_i + \beta_j) w_{ij}$$

$$w_{ij} = 0, 1, \dots, w_*$$

$$P(G) = e^{-H(G)}/Z$$
$$= \prod_{i < j} q_{ij}(w_{ij})$$

 $q_{ij}(w) = \frac{(y_i y_j)^w (1 - y_i y_j)}{1 - (y_i y_j)^{w_* + 1}}$

(probability of w 'occupations')

[Garlaschelli & Loffredo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 038701 (2009)]

$$\vec{C} = \left\{ S_i \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} \right\}$$

$$P(G) = e^{-H(G)}/Z$$
$$= \prod_{i < j} q_{ij}(w_{ij})$$

 $q_{ij}(w) = \frac{(y_i y_j)^w (1 - y_i y_j)}{1 - (y_i y_j)^{w_* + 1}}$

(probability of w 'occupations')

[Garlaschelli & Loffredo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 038701 (2009)]

If
$$w_*=+\infty$$
, the expected $\langle w_{ij}\rangle = \frac{y_i y_j}{1 - y_i y_j}$ BOSE-
EINSTEIN!

[Park & Newman, Phys. Rev. E 70, 066117 (2004)]



Equiprobable configurations:



(must hold for <u>all</u> vertices simultaneously)

Result: bad reconstruction



Reason:

poor **binary** reconstruction from strengths only



Naive expectation that aggregate weighted properties are more informative than binary ones is incorrect!

New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 043022

Doubling the constraints (degrees + strengths): Enhanced Configuration Model (ECM)

$$\rightarrow \vec{C} = \{k_i, s_i\}$$

$$H(W) = \sum_{i < j} [\alpha_{ij} \Theta(w_{ij}) + \beta_{ij} w_{ij}]$$

$$w_{ij} = 0, 1, \dots, w_{*}$$

$$\Theta(w_{ij}) = \alpha_{ij} = 0, 1$$

- **Combination** of Fermionic and Bosonic constraints;
- Extra energy (+ or -) for first occupation (i.e. edge weight);
- (+): Initial barrier/threshold; (-): saturation/aging.

Garlaschelli & Loffredo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 038701 (2009)

The generalized Bose-Fermi distribution

Full probability:

$$P(W) = \sum_{i < j} \left[\alpha_{ij} \Theta(w_{ij}) + \beta_{ij} w_{ij} \right]$$
Full probability:

$$P(W) = \frac{\prod_{i < j} e^{-\alpha_{ij} \Theta(w_{ij}) - \beta_{ij} w_{ij}}}{\sum_{W'} \prod_{i < j} e^{-\alpha_{ij} \Theta(w_{ij}) - \beta_{ij} w_{ij}}}$$

$$= \prod_{i < j} \frac{e^{-\alpha_{ij} \Theta(w_{ij}) - \beta_{ij} w_{ij}}}{\sum_{W'_{ij} = 0} e^{-\alpha_{ij} \Theta(w_{ij}) - \beta_{ij} w_{ij}}} = \prod_{i < j} q_{ij}(w_{ij})$$
Probability of w occupations:

$$q_{ij}(w) = \frac{x_{ij}^{\Theta(w)} y_{ij}^w}{1 + x_{ij} \sum_{w'=1}^{w_*} y_{ij}^{w'}}$$

Fugacities: $x_{ij} \equiv e^{-\alpha_{ij}}$ and $y_{ij} \equiv e^{-\beta_{ij}}$

Garlaschelli & Loffredo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 038701 (2009)

Doubling the constraints (degrees + strengths): Enhanced Configuration Model (ECM)



Note: equivalent to minimizing Kullback-Leibler distance from **"topological prior"** (BCM) rather than uniform prior Garlaschelli & Loffredo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 038701 (2009)

Standard reconstruction from strengths only: Bose distribution (WCM) Enhanced reconstruction from strengths and degrees: Bose-Femi distribution (ECM)



Average nearest-neighbor degree

New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 043022

Standard reconstruction from strengths only: Bose distribution (WCM) Enhanced reconstruction from strengths and degrees: Bose-Femi distribution (ECM)



Binary clustering coefficient

New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 043022

Standard reconstruction from strengths only: Bose distribution (WCM) Enhanced reconstruction from strengths and degrees: Bose-Femi distribution (ECM)



Average nearest-neighbor strength

New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 043022

Standard reconstruction from strengths only: Bose distribution (WCM) Enhanced reconstruction from strengths and degrees: Bose-Femi distribution (ECM)



Weighted clustering coefficient

New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 043022

Reducing the required input info inference from strengths and only some proxy of link density $p_{ij} = (zs_i^{out}s_j^{in})/(1+zs_i^{out}s_j^{in})$ $=\frac{x_i x_j}{1+x_i x_j}$ p_{ij} (b) 160 160120120k^{out} E. 80 40 10^{1} 10^{2} 10⁵ 10^{6} 10 10⁶ 10 10^{2} 10 10° 10200(d) (c) 120160 12080 4010⁵ 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{4} 10^{2} 10⁵ 10^{1} 10 10

G. Cimini, T. Squartini, D. Garlaschelli, A. Gabrielli, Scientific Reports 5, 15758 (2015)



G. Cimini, T. Squartini, A. Gabrielli, D. Garlaschelli, *Phys. Rev. E* 92, 040802(R) (2015)

Predicting systemic risk estimators

Percolation

(relative size of giant component vs occupation probability p)

Path length

(distribution of shortest distances λ among pairs of nodes)

Group DebtRank

(total devaluation induced by an initial devaluation Φ) [Battiston et al. 2012]



G. Cimini, T. Squartini, D. Garlaschelli, A. Gabrielli, Scientific Reports 5, 15758 (2015)



G. Cimini, T. Squartini, D. Garlaschelli, A. Gabrielli, Scientific Reports 5, 15758 (2015)

Independent tests of our method

The method

"outperforms the other methods when the same input information is used" [Mazzarisi et al 2017] and "is the clear winner among probabilistic methods" [Anand et al. 2017]

Anand et al, "The missing links: A global study on uncovering financial network structure from partial data", (2017) [Journal of Financial Stability, in press].

Mazzarisi P., F. Lillo "Methods for Reconstructing Interbank Networks from Limited Information: A Comparison", in *Econophysics and Sociophysics: Recent Progress and Future Directions*, New Economic Windows, Springer International Publishing (2017).



» Physics » General Physics » June 2, 2017 Home

Leiden econophysics model tested best by central banks

June 2, 2017



Extension to the bipartite European network



10¹²

V,<V>

Data (ECB): Security Holding Statistics (SHS)

Links: Long-term security bonds

Nodes:

C,<C>

N=266 holders (country-sector) M=3136 issuers (country-sector)

rXiv:1606.07684v2

Extension to the bipartite European network Enhanced Capital Asset Pricing Model (ECAPM)



arXiv:1606.07684v2

COMPLEX SYSTEMS

Complexity theory and financial regulation

Economic policy needs interdisciplinary network analysis and behavioral modeling

By Stefano Battiston,¹⁰ J. Doyne Farmer,^{2,3} Andreas Flache,⁴ Diego Garlaschelli,⁵ Andrew G. Haldane,⁶ Hans Heesterbeek,⁷ Cars Hommes,^{8,9}+ Carlo Jaeger,^{10,1,20} Robert Max,¹⁰ Marten Scheffer¹⁶

raditional economic theory could not explain, much less predict, the near collapse of the financial system and its long-lasting effects on the global economy. Since the 2008 crisis, there has been increasing interest in using ideas from complexity theory to make sense of economic and financial markets. Concepts, such as tipping points, networks, contagion, feedback, and resilience have entered the financial and regulatory lexicon, but **POLICY**

and results remains at an early stage. Recent insights and techniques offer potential for better monitoring and management of highly interconnected economic and financial systems and, thus, may help anticipate and manage future crises.

TIPPING POINTS, WARNING SIGNALS. Financial markets have historically exhibited sudden and largely unforeseen collapses, at a systemic scale. Such "phase transitions" may in some cases have been triggered by unpredictable stochastic events. More often, however, there have been endogenous underlying processes at work. Analyses of complex systems ranging from the climate to ecosystems reveal that, before a major transition, there is often a gradual and unnoticed loss of resilience. This makes the system brittle: A small disruption can trigger a domino effect that propagates through the system and propels it into a crisis state.

Department of Banking and Finance, University of Zurich, 8032 Zürich, Switzerland. ²Institute for New Economic Thinking, Oxford Martin School, and Mathematical Institute University of Oxford, Oxford OX12JD, UK. 3Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA. 4Department of Sociology, University of Groningen, 9712 TG Groningen, Netherlands. ⁵Lorentz Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Leiden, 2333 CA Leiden, Netherlands. 6Bank of England, London, EC2R 8AH, UK. 7Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Utrecht, 3512 JE Utrecht, Netherlands. 8Amsterdam School of Economics, University of Amsterdam, 1018 WB Amsterdam, Netherlands. 9Tinbergen Institute, 1082 MS Amsterdam, Netherlands. 10Beijing Normal University, 100875 Beijing, China. "Potsdam University, 14469 Potsdam, Germany. ¹²Global Climate Forum 10178 Berlin, Germany, ¹³Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 2JD, UK, ¹⁴Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University 6708 PB Wageningen, Netherlands, *Authors are in alphabetical order Corresponding author. E-mail: C.H.Hommes@uva.nl

Recent research has revealed generic empirical quantitative indicators of resilience that may be used across complex systems to detect tipping points. Markers include rising correlation between nodes in a network and rising temporal correlation, variance, and skewedness of fluctuation patterns. These indicators were first predicted mathematically and subsequently demonstrated experimentally in real complex systems, including living systems (1). A recent study of the Dutch interbank network (2) showed that standard analysis using a homogeneous network model could only lead to late detection of the 2008 crisis, although a more realistic and heterogeneous network model could identify an early warning signal 3 years before the crisis (see the chart).

Ecologists have developed tools to quantify the stability, robustness, and resilience of food webs and have shown how these depend on the topology of the network and the strengths of interactions (3). Epidemiologists have tools to gauge the potential for events to propagate in systems of interacting entities, to identify superspreaders and core groups relevant to infection persistence, and to design strategies to prevent or limit the spread of contagion (4).

Extrapolating results from the natural sciences to economics and finance presents challenges. For instance, publication of an early warning signal will change behavior and affect future dynamics [the Lucas critique (5)]. But this does not affect the case where indicators are known only to regulators or when the goal is to build better network barriers to slow contagion.

TOO CENTRAL TO FAIL. Network effects matter to financial-economic stability because shock amplification may occur via strong cascading effects. For example, the Bank of International Settlements recently developed a framework drawing on data on the interconnectedness between banks to gauge the systemic risk posed to the financial network by Global Systemically Important Banks. Recent research on contagion in financial networks has shown that network topology and positions of banks matter; the global financial network may collapse even when individual banks appear safe (6). Capturing these effects is essential for quantifying stress on individual banks and for looking at systemic risk for the network as

Published by AAAS

a whole. Despite on-going efforts, these effects are unlikely to be routinely considered anytime soon.

Information asymmetry within a network—e.g. where a bank does not know about troubled assets of other banks—can be problematic. The banking network typically displays a core-periphery structure,

"...policies and financial regulation [that] weaken positive feedback... stabiliz[e] experimental macroeconomic systems..."

with a core consisting of a relatively small number of large, densely interconnected banks that are not very diverse in terms of business and risk models. This implies that core banks' defaults tend to be highly correlated. That, in turn, can generate a collective moral hazard problem (i.e., players take on more risk, because others will bear the costs in case of default), as banks recognize that they are likely to be supported by the authorities in situations of distress, the likelihood amplifies their incentives to herd in the first place.

Estimating systemic risk relies on granular data on the financial network. Unfortunately, business interactions between banks are often hidden because of confidentiality issues. Tools being developed to reconstruct networks from partial information and to estimate systemic risk (7) suggest that publicly available bank information does not allow reliable estimation of systemic risk. The estimate would improve greatly if banks publicly reported the number of connections with other banks, even without disclosing their identity.

In addition to data, understanding the effects of interconnections also relies on integrative quantitative metrics and concepts that reveal important network aspects, such as systemic repercussions of the failure of individual nodes. For example, DebtRank, which measures the systemic importance of individual institutions in a financial network (\mathcal{S}), shows that the issue of too-centralto-fail may be even more important than too-big to-fail.



AGENTS AND BEHAVIOR. Agent-based models (ABMs) are computer models in which the behavior of agents and their interactions are explicitly represented as decision rules mapping agents' observations onto actions. Although ABMs are less well established in analyzing financial-economic systems than in, e.g., traffic control, epidemiology, or battlefield conflict analyses, they have produced promising results. Axtell (9) developed a simple ABM that explains more than three dozen empirical properties of firm formation without recourse to external shocks. ABMs provide a good explanation for why the volatility of prices is clustered and time-varying (10) and have been used



Early-warning signals of the 2008 crisis in the Dutch interbank network. The figure portrays a temporal analysis of two loops, nairs of banks that are at the same time debtor and creditor to each other. Although the raw number of two loops in or very informative about possible orgoing structural changes, its comparison with a random network model benchmark is. A 2 score represents the number of standard deviations by which the number of two loops in the real network deviates from its expected value in the model. Small magnitude z-scores (-3) indicate approximate consistency with the model, whereas larger magnitudes indicate statistically significant deviations. Two different random network whereas every bank has the same number of contentions as in the real network (bottom). The homogeneous model, often used in standard analyses, highlights only a late and abrupt structural change (2005). The more realistic heterogeneous model also identifies a gradual, early-warning "precrisis" phase (2005–2007). [Modified from (2)]

to test systemic risk implications of reforms developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, which show how dynamically changing risk limits can lead to booms and busts in prices (17, 12). ABMs of market dynamics can be linked with ABM work on opinion dynamics in the social sciences (13) to understand how propagation of opinions through social networks affects emergent macro behavior, which is crucial to managing the stability and resilience of socioeconomic systems. subjects can provide empirical validation of individual decision rules of agents, their interactions, and emergent macro behavior. Recent experiments studying behavior of a group of individuals in the laboratory show that economic systems may deviate significantly from rational efficient equilibrium at both individual and aggregate levels (14). This generic feature of positive feedback systems leads to persistent deviations of prices from equilibrium and emergence of speculation-driven bubbles and crashes, strongly amplified by coordination on trend-following and herding behavior (15). There is strong empirical evidence of

these behaviors in financial markets in prac-

tice, and these controlled laboratory experi-

ments provide more detailed understanding

of mechanisms, causality, and conditions for

A simple behavioral model, with agents

gradually switching to better performing

heuristics, explains individual, as well as

emergent, macro behavior in these laboratory

economies. The experiments also provide

a general mechanism for managing social

contagion in such systems. For example,

Published by AAAS

emergence of macro phenomena.

Laboratory experiments with human

19 FEBRUARY 2016 VOL 351 ISSUE 6275 818 819

SCIENCE

monetary and fiscal policies and financial regulation designed to weaken positive feedback are successful in stabilizing experime tal macroeconomic systems when properly calibrated (16). Complexity theory provides mathematical understanding of these effects.

POLICY DASHBOARD. It is an opportune time for academic economists, complexity scientists, social scientists, ecologists, epidemiologists, and researchers at financial institutions to join forces to develop tools from complexity theory, as a complement to existing economic modeling approaches (17). One ambitious option would be an online, financial-economic dashboard that integrates data, methods, and indicators. This might monitor and stress-test the global socioeconomic and financial system in something close to real time, in a way similar to what is done with other complex systems, such as weather systems or social networks. The funding required for essential policy-relevant and fundamental interdisciplinary progress in these areas would be trivial compared with the costs of systemic financial failures or the collapse of the global financial-economic system.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. M. Scheffer et al., Science 338, 344 (2012).
- T. Squartini et al., Sci. Rep. 3, 3357 (2013).
 R. M. May et al., Nature 451, 893 (2008).
- H. Heesterbeek et al., Science 347, aaa4339 (2015).
- R. E. Lucas Jr., Carnegie-Rochester Conf. Ser. Public Policy 1, 19 (1976).
- S.Battistonetal., J.Econ. Dynam. Control 36, 1121 (2012)
 G.Ciminietal., Sci. Rep. 5, 15758 (2015).
- S. Battistonetal., Sci. Rep. 2, 541 (2012).
 R. Axtell, "Endogenous dynamics of multi-agent firms"
- Working paper version 1.5, Univ. of Oxford, Oxford 2014);
 www.css.gmu.edu/~axtell/Rob/Research/Pages/Firms. html
- B. LeBaron, in Handbook of Computational Economics, vol.2, Agent-Based Computational Economics, L. Tesfatsion, and K. L. Judd, Eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam. 2006). pp. 1187–1233
- S. Thumer et al., Quant. Finan. 12, 695 (2012).
 C. Avmanns, I.D. Farmer, J. Econ. Dvn. Control.
- C. Aymanns, J. D. Farmer, J. Econ. Dyn. Control 50, 155 (2015).
 A. Flache, M. W. Macy, J. Conflict Resolut. 55, 970 (2011)
- A. Hache, M. W. Macy, J. Conflict (Hesolut: 55, 970 (2011).
 T. Bao, C. Hommes, T. Makarewicz, "Bubble formationand (in)efficient markets in learning-to-forecast and -optimize experiments" (T1 2015-107/IIWorking paper, Tinbergen Institute, Amsterdam, 2015); http://papers.tinbergen.nl/5107.pdf
- C. H. Hommes, Behavioral Rationality and Heterogeneous Expectations in Complex Economic Systems (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- T.Bao, C. H. Hommes, "When speculators meet constructors: Positive and negative feedback in experimental housing markets" (CeNDEF Working paper 15-10. University of Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2015); http://bit.ly/WP15-10.
- A. G. Haldane, "On microscopes and telescopes," Workshop on Socio-Economic Complexity, Lorentz Center, Leiden, 23 to 27 March 2015 (Bank of England, London, 2015); http:// bit.ly/1VUIvX.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from The Netherlands Institute of Advanced Studies in the Humanities and Social Sciences. The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research the Lorentz Center, and the Tinbergen Institute.

10.1126/science.aad0299

sciencemag.org SCIENCE

COMPLEX SYSTEMS

Complexity theory and financial regulation

Economic policy needs interdisciplinary network analysis and behavioral modeling

By Stefano Battiston,1* J. Doyne Farmer,23 Andreas Flache,⁴ Diego Garlaschelli,⁵ Andrew G. Haldane,⁶ Hans Heesterb Cars Hommes, 8,9+ Carlo Jaeger, 10,11,2 Robert May,13 Marten Scheffer14

raditional economic theory explain, much less predict collapse of the financial sys long-lasting effects on the g omy. Since the 2008 crisis, been increasing interest in from complexity theory to make se nomic and financial markets. Con as tipping points, networks, conta back, and resilience have entered cial and regulatory le

POLICY actual use of complex and results remains

stage. Recent insights and techn potential for better monitoring an ment of highly interconnected eco financial systems and, thus, may l pate and manage future crises.

TIPPING POINTS, WARNING SIG nancial markets have historically sudden and largely unforeseen c a systemic scale. Such "phase t may in some cases have been tr unpredictable stochastic events. ten, however, there have been e underlying processes at work. A complex systems ranging from t to ecosystems reveal that, befor transition, there is often a gradu noticed loss of resilience. This mal tem brittle: A small disruption ca domino effect that propagates th system and propels it into a crisis

Department of Banking and Finance, University 8032 Zürich, Switzerland, ²Institute for New Econ Thinking, Oxford Martin School, and Mathematica University of Oxford, Oxford OX12JD, UK. 3Santa Fe Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA. ⁴Department of Sociolog University of Groningen, 9712 TG Groningen, Netherla ⁵Lorentz Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of I 2333 CA Leiden, Netherlands, ⁶Bank of England, London, EC2R 8AH, UK. 7Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Utrecht, 3512 JE Utrecht, Netherlands. 8Amsterdam School of Economics, University of Amsterdam, 1018 WB Amsterdam, Netherlands. 9Tinbergen Institute, 1082 MS Amsterdam, Netherlands. 10Beijing Normal University, 100875 Beijing, China. "Potsdam University, 14469 Potsdam, Germany. ¹²Global Climate Forum 10178 Berlin, Germany. ¹³Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 2JD, UK. ¹⁴Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University 6708 PB Wageningen, Netherlands, *Authors are in alphabetical order Corresponding author. E-mail: C.H.Hommes@uva.nl

818 19 FEBRUARY 2016 • VOL 351 ISSUE 6275

Challenge 1:

Far from critical events.

the (maximum-entropy) reconstruction of interbank networks is reliable;

As crises approach,

reconstruction becomes unreliable and actually **prevents** the detection of early-warning signals;

In any case,

maximum-entropy methods appear crucial to construct the early-warning signal itself !

topology and positions of banks matter; the global financial network may collapse even when individual banks appear safe (6). Capturing these effects is essential for quantifying stress on individual banks and for looking at systemic risk for the network as

Published by AAAS

financeau use we use

individual nodes. For example, DebtRank, which measures the systemic importance of individual institutions in a financial network (8), shows that the issue of too-centralto-fail may be even more important than too-big-to-fail.

sciencemag.org SCIENCE

dynamics can be linked with ABM work on opinion dynamics in the social sciences (13) to understand how propagation of opinions through social networks affects emergent macro behavior, which is crucial to managing the stability and resilience of socioeconomic systems.

A simple behavioral model, with agents gradually switching to better performing heuristics explains individual as well as emergent, macro behavior in these laboratory economies. The experiments also provide a general mechanism for managing social contagion in such systems. For example,

Published by AAAS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We acknowledge financial support from The Netherlands Institute of Advanced Studies in the Humanities and Social Sciences. The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research

the Lorentz Center, and the Tinbergen Institute. 10.1126/science.aad0299

SCIENCE 19 FEBRUARY 2016 **VOL 351 ISSUE 6275** 818 819

their

AGENTS AND BEHAVIOR. Agent-based Laboratory experiments with human models (ABMs) are computer models in subjects can provide empirical validation

science

monetary and fiscal policies and financial regulation designed to weaken positive feedback are successful in stabilizing experimental macroeconomic systems when properly calibrated (16). Complexity theory provides nathematical understanding of these effects.

ICY DASHBOARD. It is an opportune for academic economists, complexcientists, social scientists, ecologists, miologists, and researchers at finannstitutions to join forces to develop from complexity theory, as a completo existing economic modeling aphes (17). One ambitious option would online, financial-economic dashboard ntegrates data, methods, and indica-This might monitor and stress-test the socioeconomic and financial system mething close to real time, in a way r to what is done with other complex ns, such as weather systems or social rks. The funding required for essential -relevant and fundamental interdisary progress in these areas would be compared with the costs of systemic ial failures or the collapse of the global ial-economic system.

ENCES AND NOTES

Schefferet al., Science 338, 344 (2012). Jartini et al., Sci. Rep. 3, 3357 (2013). May et al., Nature 451,893 (2008). esterbeek et al., Science 347, aaa 4339 (2015). Lucas Jr., Carnegie-Rochester Conf. Ser. Public Policy 1,

ttistonet al., J. Econ. Dynam. Control 36, 1121 (2012) inietal., Sci. Rep. 5, 15758 (2015) istonetal., Sci. Rep. 2, 541 (2012). II, "Endogenous dynamics of multi-agent firms" ing paper version 1.5, Univ. of Oxford, Oxford 2014); ss.gmu.edu/~axtell/Rob/Research/Pages/Firms

ron, in Handbook of Computational Economics Agent-Based Computational Economics, L atsion and K L Judd Eds (North-Holland terdam 2006), pp. 1187-1233. umer et al., Quant. Finan. 12, 695 (2012) manns, J. D. Farmer, J. Econ. Dyn. Control 50, 155

he, M. W. Macy, J. Conflict Resolut. 55,970 (2011) C.Hommes, T. Makarewicz, "Bubble formand (in)efficient markets in learning-to-forecast optimize experiments" (TI 2015-107/11Working Tinbergen Institute, Amsterdam, 2015); //papers.tinbergen.nl/15107.pdf H. Hommes. Behavioral Rationality and Heterogeneous xpectations in Complex Economic Systems (Cambridge Jniv. Press, Cambridge, 2013).

Bao, C. H. Hommes, "When speculators meet constru tors: Positive and negative feedback in experimental housing markets" (CeNDEF Working paper 15-10, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2015); http://bit.ly/WP15-10.

A. G. Haldane, "On microscopes and telescopes," Workshop on Socio-Economic Complexity, Lorentz Center, Leiden, 23 to27 March 2015 (Bank of England, London, 2015); http:// bit.lv/1VIJkX

Challenge 2: ensemble nonequivalence



Squartini, de Mol, den Hollander, Garlaschelli, PRL 115, 268701 (2015)

Summary/conclusions

- 1) **binary** graphs are often **well** reconstructed from degrees
- 2) weighted graphs are badly reconstructed from strengths
- 3) weighted graphs require topological prior info (degrees)
- 4) strengths+degrees = **BOSE-FERMI** = Enhanced CM
- 5) degrees can be **inferred** from strengths (and n. of links)
- 6) reconstruction may **deteriorate** as **crises** approach
- 7) statistical ensembles are **not equivalent**